



US009286784B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Sheleheda et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,286,784 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Mar. 15, 2016**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
SUPPRESSING DUPLICATE ALARMS**

USPC ..... 340/286.02, 506; 702/185, 191;  
709/224

See application file for complete search history.

(71) Applicant: **AT&T Intellectual Property II, L.P.**,  
Atlanta, GA (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(72) Inventors: **Daniel Sheleheda**, Florham Park, NJ  
(US); **Michael Singer**, Basking Ridge,  
NJ (US)

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

(73) Assignee: **AT&T Intellectual Property II, L.P.**,  
Atlanta, GA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 145 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-  
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/137,330**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 20, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0104058 A1 Apr. 17, 2014

4,764,928 A *	8/1988	Akerberg .....	714/819
6,356,282 B2 *	3/2002	Roytman et al. ....	715/736
6,513,129 B1	1/2003	Tentij et al.	
6,748,432 B1	6/2004	Du et al.	
6,963,983 B2	11/2005	Munson et al.	
6,987,450 B2 *	1/2006	Marino et al. ....	340/539.22
7,301,448 B1 *	11/2007	Usery .....	H04L 43/0817 340/506
7,370,357 B2	5/2008	Sekar	
7,389,345 B1	6/2008	Adams	
7,408,458 B1	8/2008	Sheleheda et al.	
7,623,534 B1 *	11/2009	Ramakrishnan et al. ....	370/408
7,631,058 B2	12/2009	Grabarnik et al.	
7,636,424 B1 *	12/2009	Halikhedkar et al. ....	379/15.01
8,248,227 B2	8/2012	Sheleheda et al.	
2004/0117658 A1	6/2004	Klaes	
2005/0060562 A1	3/2005	Bhattacharya et al.	
2006/0123479 A1	6/2006	Kumar et al.	
2007/0143851 A1	6/2007	Nicodemus et al.	
2007/0226182 A1 *	9/2007	Sobotka et al. ....	707/3
2012/0313772 A1	12/2012	Sheleheda	

\* cited by examiner

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/589,943, filed on  
Aug. 20, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,643,485, which is a  
continuation of application No. 12/177,687, filed on  
Jul. 22, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,248,227, which is a  
continuation of application No. 11/323,288, filed on  
Dec. 29, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,408,458.

*Primary Examiner* — Fekadeselassie Girma

*Assistant Examiner* — Stephen Burgdorf

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G08B 25/00** (2006.01)  
**H04L 12/24** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **G08B 25/001** (2013.01); **H04L 41/0627**  
(2013.01)


(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... G08B 25/001; H04L 12/2602; H04L  
41/0604; H04L 41/0627; H04L 41/0631

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method and apparatus for ignoring a duplicated alarm in a communications network are described. In one embodiment, at least one alarm message associated with at least one event is received. A determination of whether the at least one event exists in a database is subsequently made. The at least one event is recorded in the database if the at least one event does not exist in the database. Conversely, the at least one alarm message is suppressed if the at least one event exists in the database.

**14 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets**

200







FIG. 2

200




FIG. 3

1

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SUPPRESSING DUPLICATE ALARMS

### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/589,943, filed Aug. 20, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,643,485, which is a continuation of Ser. No. 12/177,687, filed Jul. 22, 2008, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,248,227, and is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/323,288 filed Dec. 29, 2005, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,408,458, all of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

Embodiments of the present invention generally relate to anomaly detection systems and, more particularly, to a method and apparatus for suppressing duplicate alarms in a communications network, such as an enterprise environment.

#### 2. Description of the Related Art

Presently, the volume of detected security events within an enterprise environment network can produce an overwhelming quantity of alarms. However, a significant portion of these alarms are recurring duplicates. Therefore, these duplicate alarm messages need to be intelligently suppressed from being processed and/or displayed at a central management console. Failure to do so may create a denial of service condition against a cyber security team, or alternatively overwhelm a network operator viewing a monitoring display. For example, during the outbreak of a cyber security event such as a virus or worm, the number of alarms may be excessive and can overwhelm a cyber security team. Similarly, many commercial system vendors often provide scrolling windows to receive and view the flow of alarm messages. Some vendors provide “freeze” and “continue” buttons to halt the scrolling alarms so they can be examined. However, these solutions are not completely effective because the duplicated alarms make it difficult for other alarm messages to be discerned.

Thus, there is a need in the art for a method and apparatus for suppressing duplicate alarms.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment, a method and apparatus for suppressing a duplicated alarm in a communications network are described. Specifically, at least one alarm message associated with at least one event is received. A determination of whether the at least one event exists in a database (or in a memory state table) is subsequently made. The at least one event is recorded in the database if the at least one event does not exist in the database. Conversely, the at least one alarm message is suppressed if the at least one event exists in the database.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

So that the manner in which the above recited features of the present invention can be understood in detail, a more particular description of the invention, briefly summarized above, may be had by reference to embodiments, some of which are illustrated in the appended drawings. It is to be noted, however, that the appended drawings illustrate only typical embodiments of this invention and are therefore not to be considered limiting of its scope, for the invention may admit to other equally effective embodiments.

2

FIG. 1 is a block diagram depicting an exemplary embodiment of a communication system in accordance with the invention;

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram depicting an exemplary embodiment of a method for suppressing duplicate alarms in accordance with one or more aspects of the invention; and

FIG. 3 is a block diagram depicting an exemplary embodiment of a computer suitable for implementing the processes and methods described herein.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

To better understand the present invention, FIG. 1 illustrates a communication architecture comprising an exemplary communications network system **100**, such as a security information management (SIM) enterprise environment, related to the present invention. Broadly defined, a SIM enterprise environment includes a network that is configured to automatically collect event log data from a plurality of security and network devices, such as firewalls, proxies, intrusion detection systems (IDSs), routers, and the like. The event log data is processed using data aggregation, standardization, and event correlation mechanisms in a manner that normalizes the information collected from the different security and network devices. The event data is subsequently further processed and ultimately provided to a central management console (CMC) **116** to be utilized by the network operator. The present invention should not be interpreted as being limited by the architecture depicted in FIG. 1.

In one embodiment, the SIM environment **100** comprises a customer network layer that comprises a plurality of devices **102<sub>1</sub> . . . n**, that are configured for collecting log information. In one embodiment, the log information is made up of log files that record the transactions (e.g., requests, scans, inquiries, and other access actions made by other computers) involving the collection devices **102<sub>1</sub> . . . n**. Specifically, these devices **102<sub>1</sub> . . . n** may comprise network devices or security devices such as honeypots, tarpits, routers, proxies, IDSs, firewalls, e-mail servers, and the like. The log information produced by the devices **102<sub>1</sub> . . . n** is ultimately acquired by a collection of parsers **104<sub>1</sub> . . . m**. The parsers **104**, which may be located in at least one network server, are responsible for standardizing the log information collected from the network and security devices **102<sub>1</sub> . . . n**. Specifically, the log information generated by the different devices may vary in form. The parsers **104** are able to process the different types of log information and convert all of the data into a homogenous and standard form.

The first “correlation” layer of the SIM system **100** comprises of a plurality of event consolidators (ECs) **106<sub>1</sub> . . . p**. The ECs **106** receive the standardized log information from the parsers **104** and initially perform normalization procedures. The normalization procedures may include timing normalization, classification normalization (i.e., assigning common names to common types of log information), and the like. Afterwards, the ECs conduct brief, near real-time alarming measures. The ECs **106<sub>1</sub> . . . p** are initially provisioned with a set of security event detection rules that use state tables to “remember” instances of activities that can be used to detect suspicious or anomalous activity over a short period of time (e.g., a computer that accesses 100 IP ports on 100 different computers in a span of 5 minutes). An EC is limited to the number of objects (e.g., 15,000 objects) that can be held in a state table. Upon detecting an abnormal activity using the security event detection rules, an EC **106** will generate an alarm message that is provided to a global correlator **114**. In one embodiment, each of the ECs is designated to service a particular geographical region.

The global correlator (GC) **114** is a network element that is responsible for receiving the alarms from the “regional” ECs **106<sub>1</sub> . . . p**. Notably, the GC **114** is still limited to a predefined number of objects (e.g., 15,000 objects) in a state table as well as conducting near real-time alarming over a short period of time (e.g., inspecting log information for suspicious activity in 30 second intervals). The GC **114** is also configured to consolidate and correlate all of the received alarms and provide them to a central management console (CMC) **116**. The CMC **116** may comprise a cyber command console or portal that enables a network operator to view and analyze incoming alarms.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the global correlator (GC) **114** contains an alarm de-duplication module **118**. The alarm de-duplication module (ADM) **118** is configured to perform an alarm suppression process to reduce the number of redundant alarms forwarded to the CMC **116**. Specifically, the ADM **118** evaluates each alarm message being sent to the CMC **116** (via the GC **114**) to determine whether the alarm message is new or if it is a duplicate of a previously sent message. An alarm message may be considered duplicate if an event is identical to another event with respect to similar attributes. For example, if the event is characterized by the same alarm name, source IP (SIP) address, the same destination IP (DIP) address, the same source port, the same destination port, and the same protocol, then the event is considered a duplicate by the ADM **118**. Notably, the attributes of an identical event are configurable (i.e., a duplicate event may be defined by more or less predefined attributes) by a network operator. Other attributes that may be used to define an event include, but are not limited to, bytes per packet ration (BPR), icmp type, code type, source hostname, TCP flag, and the like.

In one embodiment, the ADM **118** performs the alarm suppression process by using state based rules. The rules are used to create a state based hash table (in a local database **119**) based on certain attributes or keys. Notably, a network operator may configure the ADM **118** to consider any number of predefined attributes. The attributes are used to identify the keys that define a duplicate event. These keys need to be unique enough to specify only the events of interest without suppressing other alarms that are not considered duplicates. The attributes that are selected as the unique identification keys are entered into the rules system by the operator.

Upon receiving an alarm from the ECs **106<sub>1</sub> . . . p**, the ADM **118** inspects the associated event and attempts to place the event into a hash table. Specifically, when an alarm message is received, the hash table is examined to determine if an event with the same hash keys is present. If there is an identical entry already in this state based hash table, the event is not forwarded up to the management console at the CMC **116**. If there is not an entry in the state based hash table, the hash table is updated with this new event and the associated alarm is forwarded to the CMC **116**. The hash is maintained on a temporal basis that is configurable by a network operator. Items in the hash table that have exceeded a predefined retention period (which is configurable by a network operator) are removed.

For example, the hash table may be configured to maintain entries for up to 24 hours. Upon placing a new entry into the hash table, the ADM **118** dates and time stamps the entry. Any similar alarm received (and compared to the hash table) by the GC **114** during the predefined 24 hour period following the time stamp is suppressed and not forwarded to the CMC **116**. Therefore, the network operator (e.g., a system analyst) that is responsible for monitoring alarms at the CMC **116** is not presented with the redundant alarm. After the 24 hour period

has lapsed, the expired entry is removed from the hash table. Any similar alarm (to the recently deleted entry) that is subsequently received at the GC **114** is recorded in the hash table and is ultimately forwarded to the CMC **116**. This effectively notifies the network operator that the problem generating the alarms has yet to be resolved.

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram depicting an exemplary embodiment of a method **200** for suppressing duplicate alarms as related to one or more aspects of the invention. The method **200** begins at step **202** and proceeds to step **204** where alarm messages are received. In one embodiment, the global correlator (GC) **114** receives a plurality of alarm messages from a plurality event correlators **106<sub>1</sub> . . . p**.

At step **206**, a determination of whether an event associated with a received alarm message resides as an entry in a local database **119** is made. In one embodiment, the GC **114** compares each event associated with a received alarm message (from step **204**) entries in a hash table. If the event is found to already exist as an entry in the hash table, the method **200** proceeds to step **212** where the received alarm message is suppressed (e.g., ignored). If the event is not found to exist in the hash table, then the method **200** continues to step **208**.

At step **208**, the database is updated with the event entry. In one embodiment, the GC **114** stores the new event associates with the received alarm message in the appropriate entry of the hash table. The alarm message’s time of receipt (e.g., date and time stamp) is also stored with the event entry.

At step **210**, the alarm message is displayed on a portal. In one embodiment, a single instance of a duplicated alarm message (i.e., the alarm message associated with the event entry stored in the database) is provided to and displayed on a network operator’s display screen. By limiting the number of alarm messages that can be displayed, the user at the CMC **116** is less likely to be overwhelmed. The method **200** ends at step **214**.

FIG. 3 depicts a high level block diagram of a general purpose computer suitable for use in performing the functions described herein. As depicted in FIG. 3, the system **300** comprises a processor element **302** (e.g., a CPU), a memory **304**, e.g., random access memory (RAM) and/or read only memory (ROM), a module **305** for suppressing duplicate alarms, and various input/output devices **306** (e.g., storage devices, including but not limited to, a tape drive, a floppy drive, a hard disk drive or a compact disk drive, a receiver, a transmitter, a speaker, a display, a speech synthesizer, an output port, and a user input device (such as a keyboard, a keypad, a mouse, and the like)).

It should be noted that the present invention can be implemented in software and/or in a combination of software and hardware, e.g., using application specific integrated circuits (ASICs), a general purpose computer or any other hardware equivalents. In one embodiment, the present module or process **305** for suppressing duplicate alarms can be loaded into memory **304** and executed by processor **302** to implement the functions as discussed above. As such, the present process **305** for suppressing duplicate alarms (including associated data structures) of the present invention can be stored on a computer readable medium or carrier, e.g., RAM memory, magnetic or optical drive or diskette and the like.

While various embodiments have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. Thus, the breadth and scope of a preferred embodiment should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.

5

The invention claimed is:

1. A method for processing an alarm message in a communications network, the method comprising:

receiving, by a processor, the alarm message, wherein the alarm message is associated with an event;

determining, by the processor, if the event exists in a memory, wherein the determining comprises determining whether a hash key of the event is identical to a hash key of an existing event that is stored in the memory;

recording, by the processor, the event in the memory if the event does not exist in the memory; and

ignoring, by the processor, the alarm message if the event exists in the memory, wherein the event is designated as a duplicate event when the event exists in the memory, wherein the duplicate event is characterized by an event name, an identical source internet protocol address, an identical destination internet protocol address, an identical source port, an identical destination port, and an identical protocol as compared to the event that exists in the memory.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the memory comprises a hash table.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising: forwarding the alarm message to a central management console after the event is recorded in the memory.

4. The method of claim 1, further comprising: deleting the event from the memory upon an expiration of a predefined time period.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the communications network comprises an internet protocol network.

6. A tangible computer readable medium storing a plurality of instructions which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform operations for processing an alarm message in a communications network, the operations comprising:

receiving the alarm message, wherein the alarm message is associated with an event;

determining if the event exists in a memory, wherein the determining comprises determining whether a hash key of the event is identical to a hash key of an existing event that is stored in the memory;

recording the event in the memory if the event does not exist in the memory; and

ignoring the alarm message if the event exists in the memory, wherein the event is designated as a duplicate event when the event exists in the memory, wherein the duplicate event is characterized by an event name, an identical source internet protocol address, an identical destination internet protocol address, an identical source

6

port, an identical destination port, and an identical protocol as compared to the event that exists in the memory.

7. The tangible computer readable medium of claim 6, wherein the memory comprises a hash table.

8. The tangible computer readable medium of claim 6, further comprising:

forwarding the alarm message to a central management console after the event is recorded in the memory.

9. The tangible computer readable medium of claim 6, further comprising:

deleting the event from the memory upon an expiration of a predefined time period.

10. The tangible computer readable medium of claim 6, wherein the communications network comprises an internet protocol network.

11. An apparatus for processing an alarm message in a communications network, the apparatus comprising:

a processor; and

a computer readable medium storing a plurality of instructions which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to perform operations, the operations comprising:

receiving the alarm message, wherein the alarm message is associated with an event;

determining if the event exists in a memory, wherein the determining comprises determining whether a hash key of the event is identical to a hash key of an existing event that is stored in the memory;

recording the event in the memory if the event does not exist in the memory; and

ignoring the alarm message if the event exists in the memory, wherein the event is designated as a duplicate event when the event exists in the memory, wherein the duplicate event is characterized by an event name, an identical source internet protocol address, an identical destination Internet protocol address, an identical source port, an identical destination port, and an identical protocol as compared to the event that exists in the memory.

12. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the memory comprises a hash table.

13. The apparatus of claim 11, further comprising: forwarding the alarm message to a central management console after the event is recorded in the memory.

14. The apparatus of claim 11, further comprising: deleting the event from the memory upon an expiration of a predefined time period.

\* \* \* \* \*